

# Arithmetic Properties of Generalized Euler Numbers

Bruce E. Sagan  
Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824-1027

and

Ping Zhang  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Western Michigan University  
Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5152

February 1, 2008

Key Words: divisibility, Euler number,  $q$ -analog.

AMS subject classification (1991): Primary 11B68; Secondary 11A07, 11B65, 05A30.

Proposed running head:

Generalized Euler Numbers

Send proofs to:

Bruce E. Sagan  
Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824-1027  
Tel.: 517-355-8329  
FAX: 517-336-1562  
Email: [sagan@math.msu.edu](mailto:sagan@math.msu.edu)

### **Abstract**

The generalized Euler number  $E_{n|k}$  counts the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which have a descent in position  $m$  if and only if  $m$  is divisible by  $k$ . The classical Euler numbers are the special case when  $k = 2$ . In this paper, we study divisibility properties of a  $q$ -analog of  $E_{n|k}$ . In particular, we generalize two theorems of Andrews and Gessel [3] about factors of the  $q$ -tangent numbers.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the *symmetric group* of all permutations of the set  $\mathbf{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The  $n$ th *Euler number*,  $E_n$ , can be defined as the number of permutations  $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  that alternate, i.e.,

$$a_1 < a_2 > a_3 < \dots$$

These numbers have a long and venerable history going back at least to André [1,2]. Comtet's book [4, p. 48] lists some of the classical properties of the  $E_n$ . In particular, the Euler numbers have exponential generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n x^n / n! = \tan x + \sec x.$$

For this reason the  $E_{2n+1}$  are called *tangent numbers* and the  $E_{2n}$  *secant numbers*.

The *descent set* of any  $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  is the set of indices

$$\text{Des}(\pi) = \{i : 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } a_i > a_{i+1}\}.$$

Furthermore, the *generalized Euler*,  $E_{n|k}$ , counts the number of  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\text{Des}(\pi) = \{k, 2k, 3k, \dots\}$ . We will also use  $E_{\mathbf{n}|k}$  to denote the set of all such permutations. Clearly,  $E_{n|2} = E_n$ . As an example, we have

$$E_{5|3} = \{12435, 13425, 23415, 12534, 13524, 14523, 23514, 24513, 34512\}.$$

We will be concerned with a certain  $q$ -analog of the generalized Euler numbers defined as follows. An *inversion* of  $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  is an out-of-order pair, namely  $(a_i, a_j)$  with  $i < j$  and  $a_i > a_j$ . We let  $\text{inv } \pi$  denote the number of inversions of  $\pi$ . Following Stanley [7, pp. 147–9], define

$$E_{n|k}(q) = \sum_{\pi} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}. \quad (1)$$

Continuing our example from the previous paragraph,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{5|3}(q) &= q + q^2 + q^3 + q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + q^4 + q^5 + q^6 \\ &= q + 2q^2 + 2q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5 + q^6. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that the tangent numbers are divisible by high powers of 2. In [3], Andrews and Gessel show that both  $(1+q)(1+q^2)\dots(1+q^n)$  and  $(1+q)^n$  divide the  $q$ -tangent number  $E_{2n+1}(q)$ . Our main theorem is a generalization of this result to  $E_{n|k}(q)$ . Let

$$[k] = [k]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{k-1}.$$

So  $[k]_{q^i} = 1 + q^i + q^{2i} + \dots + q^{(k-1)i}$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $k$  be prime and  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ . Then*

1.  $[k][k]_{q^2}[k]_{q^3} \cdots [k]_{q^n} \mid E_{nk+i|k}(q);$
2.  $[k]^n \mid E_{nk+i|k}(q).$

The next section is devoted to proving a recursion and two lemmas that we will need for the proof of the previous theorem. Section 3 is devoted to the demonstration of the theorem itself. Finally, we close with a section of comments and open questions.

## 2 Lemmas

It will be useful to have a recursion relation for the  $E_{n|k}(q)$ . To state it we will need the *Gaussian polynomials* or  *$q$ -binomial coefficients*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!}$$

where  $[n]! = [n][n-1] \cdots [1]$ . We assume  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$  if  $k > n$ . It is well-known that these polynomials can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k}} q^{\text{inv } \pi}$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_{n,k}$  is the set of all permutations of  $k$  zeros and  $n-k$  ones. Finally, let  $\chi(P)$  be the *characteristic function* which is 1 if the statement  $P$  is true and 0 if it is false.

**Proposition 2.1** *For  $n \geq 0$  the  $E_{n|k}(q)$  satisfies the following recursion*

$$E_{(n+1)|k}(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix} q^{n-mk+1} E_{(mk-1)|k}(q) E_{(n-mk+1)|k}(q) + \chi(k \nmid n) E_{n|k}(q)$$

*with boundary condition  $E_{0,k}(q) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** The initial condition is trivial. For the recurrence relation, consider all the indices  $i$  where one could have  $a_i = n+1$  in some  $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ . Clearly,  $i = n+1$  can occur iff  $k \nmid n$  and in this case all  $\pi$  ending in  $n+1$  contribute  $E_{n|k}(q)$  to the sum (1) for  $E_{n+1|k}$ .

The other possible positions for  $n+1$  are at  $i = mk$  for some  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ . In this case, the inversions caused by  $n+1$  are accounted for by  $q^{n-km+1}$ . The

inversions of the elements  $a_1 \dots a_{mk-1}$  and  $a_{mk+1} \dots a_{n+1}$  among themselves are counted by  $E_{(mk-1)|k}(q)$  and  $E_{(n-mk+1)|k}(q)$ , respectively. Finally,  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix}$  takes care of inversions between these two sets of integers. ■

Given the form of the recursion in the previous proposition, it should come as no surprise that we will need two lemmas about divisibility properties of  $q$ -binomial coefficients .

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $k$  be a prime and suppose  $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ . Then for any non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ , we have the divisibility relation*

$$[k] \mid \begin{bmatrix} nk+i \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** For all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} nk+i \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[nk+i][nk+i-1] \dots [nk-mk+i+2]}{[mk-1]!}. \quad (2)$$

Since  $k$  is prime, all roots of  $[k]$  are primitive  $k$ th roots of unity. If  $\zeta$  is such a root then  $\zeta$  is a root of  $[l]$  iff  $k|l$  and in that case it has multiplicity one. Thus the multiplicity of  $\zeta$  as a root of the numerator of (2) is  $n - (n-m) = m$  while in the denominator it is  $m-1$ . Thus  $[k]$  divides the  $q$ -binomial coefficient as claimed. ■

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $k$  be prime and suppose  $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ . Then for any non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ , the expression*

$$\begin{bmatrix} nk+i \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{[k]_{q^{m-1}}[k]_{q^{m-2}} \dots [k]}{[k]_{q^n}[k]_{q^{n-1}} \dots [k]_{q^{n-m+1}}}$$

*is a polynomial in  $q$ .*

**Proof.** As with the previous lemma, we need only show that each root of unity which is a zero of the denominator appears with at least as large multiplicity in the numerator. We write the Gaussian polynomial as

$$\begin{bmatrix} nk+i \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1-q^{nk+i})(1-q^{nk+i-1}) \dots (1-q^{nk-mk+i+2})}{(1-q^{mk-1})(1-q^{mk-2}) \dots (1-q^2)(1-q)} = P_1 P_2 \quad (3)$$

where

$$P_1 = \frac{(1-q^{nk})(1-q^{(n-1)k}) \dots (1-q^{(n-m+1)k})}{(1-q^{(m-1)k})(1-q^{(m-2)k}) \dots (1-q^k)}$$

and  $P_2$  contains all the other factors of  $1 - q^j$ . Substituting  $[k]_{q^j} = (1 - q^{jk})/(1 - q^j)$  into the expression in the statement of the lemma and doing some cancelation shows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} nk + i \\ mk - 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{[k]_{q^{m-1}} [k]_{q^{m-2}} \cdots [k]}{[k]_{q^n} [k]_{q^{n-1}} \cdots [k]_{q^{n-m+1}}} = P_3 P_2 \quad (4)$$

where

$$P_3 = \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{n-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{n-m+1})}{(1 - q^{m-1})(1 - q^{m-2}) \cdots (1 - q)}.$$

Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $l$ th root of unity. Then since  $k$  is prime, either  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$  or  $k|l$ . In the former case, the multiplicities of  $\zeta$  in the denominators of  $P_1 P_2$  and  $P_3 P_2$  are equal. Since the same is true of the numerators,  $P_3 P_2$  has no pole at  $\zeta$  since  $P_1 P_2$  doesn't. If  $k|l$  then  $\zeta$  is neither a root nor a pole of  $P_2$ . Also  $P_3 = (1 - q^n) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a polynomial in  $q$  and so does not have  $\zeta$  as a pole. Thus no primitive root of unity is a pole of  $P_2 P_3$  forcing it to be a polynomial. ■

### 3 Divisibility of the generalized $q$ -Euler numbers

Now we are in the position to prove our main results.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $k$  be prime and  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , then  $E_{(nk+i)|k}(q)$  is divisible by  $[k]^n$ .*

**Proof.** We will induct on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ , the result is trivial. Suppose the result is true up to but not including  $n$ . First consider  $i = 1$ . According to Proposition 2.1

$$E_{(nk+1)|k}(q) = \sum_{m=1}^n q^{nk-mk+1} \begin{bmatrix} nk \\ mk - 1 \end{bmatrix} E_{(mk-1)|k}(q) E_{(nk-mk+1)|k}(q). \quad (5)$$

By induction  $[k]^{m-1}$  and  $[k]^{n-m}$  divide  $E_{(mk-1)|k}(q)$  and  $E_{(nk-mk+1)|k}(q)$ , respectively. But by Lemma 2.2,  $[k]$  is a factor of the corresponding  $q$ -binomial coefficient in (5). So  $E_{(nk+1)|k}(q)$  is divisible by  $[k]^{m-1+n-m+1} = [k]^n$  as desired. The case when  $2 \leq i \leq k - 1$  is similar, with the extra term from the recursion in Proposition 2.1 being taken care of by the case for  $i - 1$ . ■

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $k$  be prime and  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , then  $E_{(nk+i)|k}(q)$  is divisible by  $[k][k]_{q^2}[k]_{q^3} \cdots [k]_{q^n}$ .*

**Proof.** As before, we will induct on  $n$  with  $n = 0$  being trivial. Suppose the result is true up to but not including  $n$ . When  $i = 1$  we have (5) again and examine each of its terms. By the induction hypothesis

$$E_{(mk-1)|k}(q) = [k][k]_{q^2}[k]_{q^3} \cdots [k]_{q^{m-1}} Q_1$$

and

$$E_{(nk-mk+1)|k}(q) = [k][k]_{q^2}[k]_{q^3} \cdots [k]_{q^{n-m}} Q_2$$

where  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are polynomials in  $q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} nk \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix} E_{(mk-1)|k}(q) E_{(nk-mk+1)|k}(q) \\ & \begin{bmatrix} nk \\ mk-1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{[k]_{q^{m-1}}[k]_{q^{m-2}} \cdots [k]}{[k]_{q^n}[k]_{q^{n-1}} \cdots [k]_{q^{n-m+1}}} [k][k]_{q^2}[k]_{q^3} \cdots [k]_{q^n} Q_1 Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, the  $q$ -binomial coefficient times the fraction is a polynomial in  $q$ . So  $[k][k]_{q^2}[k]_{q^3} \cdots [k]_{q^n}$  is a factor of every term in (5) and thus of  $E_{(nk+1)|k}(q)$ . The case  $2 \leq i \leq k-1$  is handled as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\blacksquare$

## 4 Comments and open questions

By setting  $q = 1$  in either Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.2 we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1** *Let  $k$  be prime and  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , then  $E_{(nk+i)|k}$  is divisible by  $k^n$ .*

It is well known that for  $k = 2$  (the tangent numbers)

$$2^{2n} \mid (n+1)E_{2n+1} \tag{6}$$

and that the corresponding quotient, called a *Genocchi number*, is odd. Thus it is not surprising that better divisibility results can be obtained when  $q = 1$  for general primes  $k$ . In particular, Gessel and Viennot [6] have shown that

$$k^{\lceil \frac{nk-j}{k-1} \rceil} \mid \binom{nk}{j} E_{(nk-j)|k}. \tag{7}$$

Note that this reduces to (6) when  $k = 2$  and  $j = 1$ . This raises a couple of questions. Is it true that the associated quotient in (7) is relatively prime to  $k$ ? Can these results be extended to the case of arbitrary  $q$ ? With regards to the second query, the reader should consult Foata's article [5] which provides some answers in the case  $k = 2$ .

## References

1. André, D.: Développements de  $\sec x$  et de  $\tan x$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **88**, 965–967 (1879).



2. André, D.: Sur les permutations alternées, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **7**, 167–184 (1881).
3. Andrews, G., Gessel, I.: Divisibility properties of the  $q$ -tangent numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **68**, 380–384 (1978).
4. Comtet, L., *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel Pub. Co., 1974.
5. Foata, D.: Further divisibility properties of the  $q$ -tangent numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81**, 143–148 (1981).
6. Gessel, I., Viennot, G.: Generalized tangent and Genocchi numbers, preprint.
7. Stanley, R.P., *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume I*, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, 1986.